

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,727	12/10/2001		David Hedman	871870-6	6900	
7:	590	06/21/2002				
Brian M. Berl			EXAM	EXAMINER		
O'Melveny & M 400 S. Hope St	reet		ROWAN, KURT C			
Los Angeles, CA 900		1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3643	3643	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/014,727

Applicant(s)

Hedman et al.

Office Action Summary

12

Examiner

KURT ROWAN

Art Unit **3643** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (	•						
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	rithin the statutory minimum apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to beco	of thirty (3 MONTHS	30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-fina	l <b>.</b>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>18-30</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>18-30</u>			is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.				
8) Claims							
Application Papers	•						
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office ac	tion.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)							
Attachment(s)	<b>4</b> 1 □ • • • •		CO 413) Deves Notes				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iormai Patei	nt Application (F10-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,727

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 18-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,327,812. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are obvious in view of the previously patented claims since the same method steps are recited

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,727

Art Unit: 3643

such as preparing the enclosure, positioning a plurality of temperature probes, providing at least one ingress duct, heating an environmentally acceptable gas, directing the heated gas into the enclosure, monitoring the temperature from the probes, recording the temperatures from the probes, establishing at least a slight positive pressure within the enclosure, and venting the heated gas from the enclosure.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes '329.

The patent to Forbes shows a method for killing organisms in Fig. 1 by preparing the enclosure having an exterior and an interior by covering with an insulating mat 20. Forbes provides at least one ingress duct 41 communicating with the interior of the enclosure 40, directing a heated gas into the enclosure to raise the temperature of the enclosure to a lethal temperature. Forbes discloses heating with nitrogen which is an environmentally acceptable gas. Forbes monitors the temperature in real time as disclosed in column 4, lines 51-64, but does not disclose a plurality of temperature probes at predetermined locations in the enclosure. Forbes establishes a slight

Application/Control Number: 10/014,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

positive pressure since a fan 27 blows in the heated gas. Forbes vents the heated gas through hose 42 from the enclosure. In reference to claims 18, 19, 20, 24, 25 Forbes shows all of the elements recited with the exception of the plurality of temperature probes and removing or protecting heat sensitive items. Forbes discloses one probe. At any rate, it would have been obvious to employ more than one probe to monitor the temperature for multiplied effect. That is to insure that all locations are raised to a temperature that is lethal to the target organism. Also, it would have been obvious to remove hear sensitive items from the enclosure to prevent damage. Forbes has moving gas to remove remains of organisms from the enclosure. In reference to claim 21, Forbes discloses 120 degrees F. In reference to claims 22, 29 Forbes does not disclose a console outside the enclosure for monitoring the temperature, but it would have been obvious to connect the probes to a central console to easily keep track of all the temperatures in real time in the enclosure. In reference to claim 23, Forbes discloses killing insects. In reference to claim 26, 30, Forbes does not disclose killing fungi, molds and bacteria. Forbes discloses killing insects. However, it would have been obvious to kill other toxic organisms which are health hazards besides insects such as aspergillus oryzae, aspergillus since the purpose is the same. In reference to claim 27, Forbes discloses 120 degrees F. In reference to claim 28, Forbes does not disclose a plurality of temperature indicating probes, but does disclose one. Given one it would have been obvious to employ more than one for multiplied effect. See In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,727

Art Unit: 3643

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Headman, Johnson, Lee, Pedersen, Chu, Tallon, Nelson, Doernemann, and Chaudoin show the state of the art.

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **KURT ROWAN** whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,727

Art Unit: 3643

**KURT ROWAN** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

June 16, 2002